

# Decisiones

## Centro Histórico de Ciudad de Arequipa

### INDICE

#### Contenido

24 COM - 2000.....	3
<b>WHC-2000/CONF.204/21 Paris, 16 February 2001 Original: English/French .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Nomination: Property The Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa Id. N° 1016 State Party Peru	
Criteria C (i) (iv) - Page 46-47.....	4
25 COM - 2001.....	5
<b>World Heritage 25 COM Distribution limited WHC-01/CONF.208/4 - Helsinki, 10 December 2001</b>	
<b>Original: English/French.....</b>	<b>5</b>
Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) – Page 40 - 41.....	6
<b>World Heritage 25 COM Distribution limited WHC-01/CONF.208/24 Paris, 8 February 2002</b>	
<b>Original: English/French.....</b>	<b>7</b>
Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) - Page 38.....	8
Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) – Page 143.....	8
27 COM - 2003.....	10
Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) – Page 90 - 91.....	11
INDEX OF PROPERTIES – Page 176 .....	11
28 COM - 2004.....	12
Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) – Page 143.....	13
Decides to maintain the following properties on the List of World Heritage in Danger: Peru Chan Chan Archaeological Zone 28 COM 15A.30 28 COM 15C.2 – Page 145 .....	13
INDEX OF PROPERTIES – Page 193 .....	13
29 COM - 2005.....	14
97. Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 106.....	15
Index of properties – Page 216 .....	15
30 COM - 2006.....	16
98. Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 125.....	17
31 COM - 2007.....	18
123. Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 128 - 129 .....	19
32 COM - 2008.....	20
127. Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 142 - 143 .....	21
33 COM - 2009.....	22

142. Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 172 -173 .....	23
34 COM - 2010.....	24
<b>WHC-10/34.COM/20 Paris, 3 September 2010 Original: English/French REPORT OF THE DECISIONS ADOPTED BY THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE AT ITS 34TH SESSION (BRASILIA, 2010).....</b>	<b>24</b>
Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) – Page 158 159 .....	25
35 COM - 2011.....	26
<b>WHC-11/35.COM/20 Paris, 7 July 2011 Original: English / French .....</b>	<b>26</b>
132. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 163 - 164 .....	27
36 com - 2012.....	28
<b>WHC-12/36.COM/19 Original: English / French.....</b>	<b>28</b>
104. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 144-145 .....	29
37 COM - 2013.....	30
<b>WHC-13/37.COM/20 Paris, 5 July 2013 Original: English / French .....</b>	<b>30</b>
101. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 147 .....	31
38 COM - 2014.....	32
<b>WHC-14/38.COM/16 Doha, 7 July 2014 Original: English / French .....</b>	<b>32</b>
46. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 98 - 99 .....	33
40 COM - 2016.....	34
8. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 77 - 78 .....	35
42 COM - 2018.....	36
<b>WHC/18/42.COM/18 Manama, 4 July 2018 Original: English Decisions adopted during the 42nd sesión of the World Heritage Committee (Manama, 2018).....</b>	<b>36</b>
41. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 114 .....	37
44 COM – 2020 / 2021 .....	38
<b>Whc/21/44.COM/18 Paris, 31 July 2021 Original: English / French Extended forty-fourth sesión Fuzhou (China) / Online meeting 16 – 31 July 2021 - Decisions adopted during the 44th sesión of the World Heritage Committee (Fuzhou, 2021).....</b>	<b>38</b>
69. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) - Page 160 .....	39

**24 COM - 2000**

**WHC-2000/CONF.204/21 Paris, 16 February 2001 Original: English/French**

**World Heritage**

**24COM**

Distribution limited

WHC-2000/CONF.204/21  
Paris, 16 February 2001  
Original : English/French

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL  
ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD  
CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE

Twenty-fourth session

Cairns, Australia  
27 November – 2 December 2000

**REPORT**

## C.1 Properties that the Committee inscribed on the World Heritage List

**Nomination: Property The Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa Id. N° 1016  
State Party Peru Criteria C (i) (iv) - Page 46-47**

**The Committee decided to inscribe the site on the World Heritage List on the basis of criteria (i) and (iv):**

Criterion (i): The ornamented architecture in the historic centre of Arequipa represents a masterpiece of the creative integration of European and native characteristics, crucial for the cultural expression of the entire region.

Criterion (iv): The historic centre of Arequipa is an outstanding example of a colonial settlement, challenged by the natural conditions, the indigenous influences, the process of conquest and evangelization, as well as the spectacular nature of its setting.

## **25 COM - 2001**

**World Heritage 25 COM Distribution limited WHC-01/CONF.208/4 - Helsinki, 10 December 2001 Original: English/French**

**World Heritage**

**25 COM**

**Distribution limited**

**WHC-01/CONF.208/4  
Helsinki, 10 December 2001  
Original : English/French**

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL  
ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD  
CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

**WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE**

**Twenty-fifth session**

**Helsinki, Finland  
11 - 16 December 2001**

**Report of the Rapporteur of the twenty-fifth extraordinary session of  
the Bureau, Helsinki, Finland (7-8 December 2001)**

**III.296** The Bureau was informed of activities carried out since the earthquake. The State Party sent a report entitled "Evaluation of Damages in the Historical Centre of Arequipa following the 23 June 2001 earthquake and Draft Reconstruction Plan.

**III.297** The emergency assistance approved by the Bureau as its twenty-fifth session is fully implemented, with the removal of rubble, the construction of a temporary roof for the Cathedral to protect it from rain damage, and the temporary stabilisation of the beams of the building. The first mission of an expert in rehabilitation and restoration was undertaken in July 2001 to assist the Municipality of Arequipa to revise the Master Plan in the light of the new situation, setting priority projects, defining a mechanism for the implementation of reconstruction and rehabilitation in the Historical Centre, and assisting the authorities in the formulation of a first project profile to be submitted to the IBD. A second mission, in August 2001, had as goal, the examination of the draft law for the creation of "The Reconstruction Fund for the Historical Centre and Monumental Area of Arequipa" and to "advise on the formulation of the emergency and urban reconstruction programme of Arequipa". Furthermore, the Bureau was informed that, following the earthquake, the management plan of the City has to be completely revised, that the creation of a management unit was still outstanding and that the Centre should carry out a mission at the beginning of 2002.

**III.298** The Bureau was also informed of the misión carried out by ICCROM who immediately sent two Chilean experts in June 2001 to assist the Municipality of Arequipa's conservation laboratory for the safeguarding of the movable objects from the damaged monuments. Assistance was also provided to the Municipality of Arequipa by the Italian Government, the Spanish International Co-operation Agency and the City of Paris.

**III.299** During the debate, the Observer of Peru, thanked the Bureau for the rapid response to the request for emergency assistance following the earthquake, and informed the Bureau of the content of the technical report that the Municipality of Arequipa had recently provided concerning the emergency work carried out for the consolidation of the Cathedral. He also informed that a reconstruction plan was under preparation in line with the Master Plan.

**III.300** The Bureau noted that the Observer of Peru would inform his national authorities of their recommendation to submit a request for emergency assistance for the preparation of a new Master Plan. It also noted the suggestions made by the Chairperson that the Centre, ICCROM and ICOMOS contact the Embassy of Finland in Lima to ensure the implementation of the recommendations as well as the proposal made by the Delegate of Mexico to share experience gained by his country in the domain of risk preparedness.

**III.301** The Bureau wished to take note of the quick response given by the World Heritage Centre and ICCROM. It also noted that following the earthquake a new management plan will have to be developed taking into account the different social, economic, political and religious sectors. The Bureau encouraged the State Party to request technical assistance from the World Heritage Fund for the preparation of this new plan and recommended that the Centre and ICCROM work jointly with national and regional professionals on risk preparedness activities. The State Party is requested to submit a report to the Bureau in 2003.

**World Heritage**

**25 COM**

Distribution limited

WHC-01/CONF.208/24  
Paris, 8 February 2002  
Original: English/French

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL  
ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD  
CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE

Twenty-fifth session

Helsinki, Finland  
11 - 16 December 2001

**REPORT**

Following changes in the cycle of the World Heritage statutory meetings, the time limits imposed on States Parties for the submission of the requested reports have been considerably reduced. With regard to the Latin American and the Caribbean Region, the Committee stressed the need to submit these reports to the Bureau and not directly to the Committee. However, in view of the different degrees of urgency for each site, and after consultation with the State Party, the Committee requested that the state of conservation for this site be submitted by 1 February 2003 for examination by the twenty-seventh session of the Bureau.

**ANNEX IX**

**STATE OF CONSERVATION OF PROPERTIES INSCRIBED ON THE WORLD HERITAGE LIST**

**Extract from the Report of the Rapporteur of the twenty-fifth extraordinary sesión of the Bureau, Helsinki, Finland (7-8 December 2002) (WHC-01/CONF.208/4)**

**PART I: Reports on the state of conservation of properties inscribed on the World Heritage List for examination**  
**NATURAL HERITAGE**

**III.296** The Bureau was informed of activities carried out since the earthquake. The State Party sent a report entitled "Evaluation of Damages in the Historical Centre of Arequipa following the 23 June 2001 earthquake and Draft Reconstruction Plan.

**III.297** The emergency assistance approved by the Bureau as its twenty-fifth session is fully implemented, with the removal of rubble, the construction of a temporary roof for the Cathedral to protect it from rain damage, and the temporary stabilisation of the beams of the building. The first mission of an expert in rehabilitation and restoration was undertaken in July 2001 to assist the Municipality of Arequipa to revise the Master Plan in the light of the new situation, setting priority projects, defining a mechanism for the implementation of reconstruction and rehabilitation in the Historical Centre, and assisting the authorities in the formulation of a first project profile to be submitted to the IBD. A second mission, in August 2001, had as goal, the examination of the draft law for the creation of "The Reconstruction Fund for the Historical Centre and Monumental Area of Arequipa" and to "advise on the formulation of the emergency and urban reconstruction programme of Arequipa". Furthermore, the Bureau was informed that, following the earthquake, the management plan of the City has to be completely revised, that the creation of a management unit was still outstanding and that the Centre should carry out a mission at the beginning of 2002.

**III.298** The Bureau was also informed of the misión carried out by ICCROM who immediately sent two Chilean experts in June 2001 to assist the Municipality of Arequipa's conservation laboratory for the safeguarding of the movable objects from the damaged monuments. Assistance was also provided to the Municipality of Arequipa by the Italian Government, the Spanish International Co-operation Agency and the City of Paris.

**III.299** During the debate, the Observer of Peru, thanked the Bureau for the rapid response to the request for emergency assistance following the earthquake, and informed the Bureau of the content of the technical report that the Municipality of Arequipa had recently provided concerning the emergency work carried out for the consolidation of the Cathedral. He also informed that a reconstruction plan was under preparation in line with the Master Plan.

**III.300** The Bureau noted that the Observer of Peru would inform his national authorities of their recommendation to submit a request for emergency assistance for the preparation of a new Master Plan. It also noted the suggestions made by the Chairperson that the Centre, ICCROM and ICOMOS contact the Embassy of Finland in Lima to ensure the implementation of the recommendations as well as the proposal made by the Delegate of Mexico to share experience gained by his country in the domain of risk preparedness.

**III.301** The Bureau wished to take note of the quick response given by the World Heritage Centre and ICCROM. It also noted that following the earthquake a new management plan will have to be developed taking into account the different social, economic, political and religious sectors. The Bureau encouraged the State Party to request technical assistance from the World Heritage Fund for the preparation of this new plan and recommended that the Centre and ICCROM work jointly with national and regional professionals on risk preparedness activities. The State Party is requested to submit a report to the Bureau in 2003.

**World Heritage**

**27 COM**

Distribution limited

WHC-03/27.COM/24  
Paris, 10 December 2003  
Original: English/French

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD  
CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE

Twenty-seventh session

Paris, UNESCO Headquarters, Room XII  
30 June – 5 July 2003

DECISIONS ADOPTED BY THE  
27TH SESSION OF  
THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
IN 2003

## Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) – Page 90 - 91

Document: WHC-03/27.COM/7B

27 COM 7B.100 The World Heritage Committee<sup>62</sup>,

1. Shares the ICOMOS recommendations and congratulates the State Party on the progress being made in the implementation of the Master Plan for the Historical Centre of Arequipa;
2. Recommends to the responsible authorities the incorporation of a risk-preparedness plan into the Master Plan in view of the frequent seismic activity in this region.

## INDEX OF PROPERTIES – Page 176

Chavin (Archaeological Site)	27 COM 7B.97
Historic Centre of Lima	27 COM 7B.99
Historic Sanctuary of Machu Picchu	27 COM 7B.30
City of Cuzco	27 COM 7B.98
Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa	27 COM 7B.100

**World Heritage**

**28 COM**

Distribution limited

WHC-04/28.COM/26  
Paris, 29 October 2004  
Original: English/French

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL  
ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD CULTURAL  
AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

**WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE**

Twenty-eighth session  
Suzhou, China  
28 June - 7 July 2004

**DECISIONS ADOPTED  
AT THE 28TH SESSION  
OF THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
(SUZHOU, 2004)**

## Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) – Page 143

Document: WHC-04/28.COM/15B

28 COM 15B.121 The World Heritage Committee69,

1. Taking note of the progress report submitted by the State Party with information on the implementation of the Master Plan (Decision 27 COM 7B.100),
2. Commending the State Party for the outline programme for Emergencies and Reduction of Disasters, which is under preparation, and thanking the Spanish Agency for International Co-operation for the support provided,
3. Requests information on the demolition of the immovable heritage in the Historical Centre of Arequipa by the Resolution 073-2003-INC-DA, adopted by the Regional Directorate of Arequipa;
4. Further requests the State Party to submit, by 1 February 2005, a report on the progress achieved in the implementation of the Emergency and Risk Preparedness Plan, for consideration of the Committee at its 29th session in 2005.

**Decides to maintain the following properties on the List of World Heritage in Danger: Peru Chan Chan Archaeological Zone 28 COM 15A.30 28 COM 15C.2 – Page 145**

## INDEX OF PROPERTIES – Page 193

Historic Sanctuary of Machu Picchu	28 COM 15B.38
Archaeological Site of Chavin	28 COM 15B.104
City of Cuzco	28 COM 15B.119
Historic Centre of Lima	28 COM 15B.120
Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa	28 COM 15B.121

**World Heritage**

**29 COM**

Distribution limited

**WHC-05/29.COM/22**

Paris, 9 September 2005

Original: English/French

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF  
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

**WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE**

**Twenty-ninth Session**

**Durban, South Africa**

**10 - 17 July 2005**

**DECISIONS OF THE 29TH SESSION  
OF THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
(DURBAN, 2005)<sup>1</sup>**

---

<sup>1</sup> These Decisions are to be applied and interpreted in the context of the Summary Record of this session  
(Document : *WHC-05/29.COM/INF.22*)

## 97. Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 106

### Decision 29 COM 7B.97

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document WHC-05/29.COM/7B.Rev,
2. Recalling its Decision 28 COM 15B.121, adopted at its 28th session (Suzhou, 2004),
3. Takes note of the finalization of the outline programme for Emergencies and Disaster Reduction, and regrets that no information was provided on the demolition of the immovable heritage in 2003 in the Historic Centre of Arequipa, as requested at its 28th session,
4. Encourages the State Party of Peru to implement the Emergencies and Disaster Reduction Plan at the soonest;
5. Requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre by 1 February 2006 detailed information on the interventions foreseen for the San Agustín Church and Tower, for examination by the Committee at its 30th session (Vilnius, 2006).

## Index of properties – Page 216

Chan Chan Archaeological Zone	29 COM 7A.30 / 29 COM 8C.2
Chavín (Archaeological Site)	29 COM 7B.95
City of Cuzco	CLT 29 COM 7B.96
Historic Sanctuary of Machu Picchu	MIX 29 COM 7B.33
Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa	CLT 29 COM 7B.97
Huascarán National Park	NAT 29 COM 7B.a
Lines and Geoglyphs of Nasca and Pampas de Jumana	CLT 29 COM 7B.98

**World Heritage**

**30 COM**

Distribution limited

**WHC-06/30.COM/19**  
Paris, 23 August 2006  
Original: English/French

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL  
ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF THE WORLD  
CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

**WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE**

**Thirtieth Session**

**Vilnius, Lithuania  
8-16 July 2006**

**DECISIONS ADOPTED  
AT THE 30TH SESSION  
OF THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
(VILNIUS, 2006)<sup>1</sup>**

***WITHOUT ANNEXES***

<sup>1</sup> These Decisions are to be applied and interpreted in the context of the Summary Record of this session  
(Document : WHC-06/30.COM/INF.19)

## 98. Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 125

### Decision 30 COM 7B.98

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document WHC-06/30.COM/7B,
2. Recalling Decision 29 COM 7B.97 adopted at its 29th session (Durban, 2005),
3. Urges the State Party to finalise and implement the Disaster Preparedness Plan as soon as possible;
4. Requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by 1 February 2007, a report on the progress made in the implementation of the Disaster Preparedness Plan for examination by the Committee at its 31st session in 2007.



**World Heritage**

**31 COM**

Distribution limited

**WHC-07/31.COM/24**

Paris, 31 July 2007

Original: English/French

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF  
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

**WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE**

**Thirty-first session  
Christchurch, New Zealand  
23 June-2 July 2007**

**DECISIONS ADOPTED  
AT THE 31st SESSION  
OF THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
(CHRISTCHURCH, 2007)**

## 123. Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 128 - 129

### Decision: 31 COM 7B.123

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document WHC-07/31.COM/7B,
2. Recalling Decision **29 COM 7B.97**, adopted at its 29th session (Durban, 2005),
3. Regrets that the State of Conservation report was not submitted in one of the working languages of the *World Heritage Convention*;
4. Urges the State Party to finalise and fully implement the Disaster Preparedness Plan;
5. Invites the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Committee details of proposed projects affecting historic buildings such as Casa Andina, Tambo de la Cabezona and Casa Polar by **1 October 2007**, according to Paragraph 172 of the *Operational Guidelines*, for consideration by the World Heritage Centre and ICOMOS;
6. Requests the State Party to invite a joint World Heritage Centre/ICOMOS reactive monitoring mission to the property, if appropriate, following the assessment of Project plans;
7. Also requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by **1 February 2008**, a progress report on the advances made in the implementation of the Disaster Preparedness Plan for examination by the Committee at its 32nd session in 2008.



**World Heritage**

**32 COM**

Distribution limited

WHC-08/32.COM/24Rev

31 March 2009

Original: English/French

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF  
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE

Thirty-second session  
Quebec City, Canada  
2 - 10 July 2008

**DECISIONS ADOPTED  
AT THE 32nd SESSION  
OF THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
(QUEBEC CITY, 2008)**

**Last changes are highlighted**

**Decision: 32 COM 7B.127**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document WHC-08/32.COM/7B.Add.2,
2. Recalling Decision 31 COM 7B.123, adopted at its 31st session (Christchurch, 2007),
3. Regrets that the details of the proposed projects affecting historic buildings were not received by 1 October 2007 as had been requested by the World Heritage Committee by Decision 31 COM 7B.123;
4. Requests the State Party to give urgent consideration to the recommendations of the Joint World Heritage Centre / ICOMOS mission of November 2006 and their phased implementation, especially in relation to tackling the demolition issue and strengthening the work teams;
5. Also requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by 1 February 2009, a completed Disaster Preparedness Plan, together with a progress report on the advances made in the implementation of the Plan, for examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 33rd session in 2009;
6. Invites the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Committee on a regular basis details of any new proposed projects potentially affecting historic buildings in the inscribed site, in accordance with Paragraph 172 of the Operational Guidelines, for consideration by the World Heritage Centre and ICOMOS;
7. Further requests the State Party to expand and complete the work of documentation in developing an inventory of the surviving historic buildings, and to submit a progress report on the work to the World Heritage Centre, by 1 February 2009, for examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 33rd session in 2009;
8. Requests moreover the State Party to draw up and present to the World Heritage Committee a new Statement of Outstanding Universal Value justifying the inscription of the site, strengthening the connection between the city and the surrounding countryside, redefining the limits of the buffer zone and forming the basis of a revised master plan of Arequipa and other planning documents;
9. Encourages the State Party to make a request for technical assistance under the World Heritage Fund in order to develop a programme of community participation leading to a civil society action plan for conservation;
10. Also requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by 1 February 2009, a report on the progress made on the recommendations of the Reactive Monitoring mission for examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 33rd session in 2009.



**World Heritage**

**33 COM**

Distribution Limited

**WHC-09/33.COM/20**  
Seville, 20 July 2009  
Original: English/French

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF  
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

**WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE**

**Thirty-third session**

**Seville, Spain  
22-30 June 2009**

**REPORT OF DECISIONS**

## 142. Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 172 -173

### Decision: 33 COM 7B.142

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Documents WHC-09/33.COM/7B and WHC-09/33.COM/7B.Corr,
2. Recalling Decision 32 COM 7B.127, adopted at its 32nd session (Quebec City, 2008),
3. Notes the progress reported by the State Party in implementing the recommendations from the 2008 reactive monitoring mission report, particularly in regard to starting the revision of the buffer zone;
4. Also notes progress in deterring illegal demolitions and in strengthening institutional frameworks for the management of the property and requests the State Party to ensure the regulatory procedures for demolitions are strictly enforced;
5. Regrets that the State Party did not submit a completed Disaster Preparedness Plan, as requested in Decision 32 COM 7B.127 and notes with concern that it has not been finalised in light of the vulnerability of the place;
6. Takes note of the projects planned for the Historic Centre and also requests the State Party, in accordance with Paragraph 172 of the Operational Guidelines, to submit to the World Heritage Committee their specific details for consideration by the World Heritage Centre and ICOMOS;
7. Further requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by 1 February 2011, a detailed report on the state of conservation of the property and on the progress made in the implementation of the above recommendations, for the examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 35th session in 2011.

# 34 COM - 2010

WHC-10/34.COM/20 Paris, 3 September 2010 Original: English/French **REPORT OF THE DECISIONS ADOPTED BY THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE AT ITS 34TH SESSION (BRASILIA, 2010)**



**World Heritage**

**34 COM**

Limited Distribution

WHC-10/34.COM/20  
Paris, 3 September 2010  
Original: English/French

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF  
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE

Thirty-fourth session

Brasilia, Brazil  
25 July – 3 August 2010

Item 20 of the Agenda:

**REPORT OF THE DECISIONS  
ADOPTED  
BY THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
AT ITS 34TH SESSION (BRASILIA, 2010)**

**Decision: 34 COM 7B.114**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document WHC-10/34.COM/7B,
2. Recalling Decision **33 COM 7B.142**, adopted at its 33rd session (Seville, 2009),
3. Regrets that no substantial progress has been made in the implementation of the recommendations of the 2008 World Heritage Centre/ICOMOS joint reactive monitoring mission;
4. Takes note of the progress made by the State Party in establishing institutional arrangements and encourages it to secure the resources required for their sustained operation;
5. Requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre and the Advisory Bodies the following information:
  - a) The finalized Master Plan and Management Plan,
  - b) An advanced report on the registration and inventorying of built heritage in the property;
6. Acknowledges the efforts made to enhance the protection of the property by declaring the Chilina Valley and the Pre-hispanic terraces as a protected area and urges the State Party to fully implement regulatory measures to prevent further urban sprawl and impacts on the setting;
7. Also regrets that the State Party did not submit a finalized risk preparedness plan as requested by the World Heritage Committee since 2003 and reiterates its request to complete the process and submit the plan for review by the World Heritage Centre and ICOMOS;
8. Also requests the State Party to submit updated information on the construction of the Chilina Bridge and projected infrastructure works, in accordance with Paragraph 172 of the *Operational Guidelines* to the World Heritage Centre for review by the World Heritage Centre and the Advisory Bodies and also urges the State Party to stop infrastructure works until the potential impact of these works on the Outstanding Universal Value, including integrity and authenticity of the property can be ascertained;
9. Further requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by 1 February 2011, a detailed report on the state of conservation of the property and on the progress made in the implementation of the above recommendations, for the examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 35th session in 2011.

# 35 COM - 2011

WHC-11/35.COM/20 Paris, 7 July 2011 Original: English / French



United Nations  
Educational, Scientific and  
Cultural Organization

Organisation  
des Nations Unies  
pour l'éducation,  
la science et la culture

## World Heritage

## 35 COM

Distribution Limited

WHC-11/35.COM/20  
Paris, 7 July 2011  
Original: English / French

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF  
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

World Heritage Committee

Thirty-fifth session  
Paris, UNESCO Headquarters  
19-29 June 2011

**DECISIONS ADOPTED  
BY THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
AT ITS 35th SESSION  
(UNESCO, 2011)**

## 132. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 163 - 164

### Decision: 35 COM 7B.132

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document WHC-11/35.COM/7B,
2. Recalling Decision 34 COM 7B.114, adopted at its 34th session (Brasilia, 2010),
3. Acknowledges the information provided by the State Party on the efforts made to ensure the conservation of the property, and encourages it to continue with this work in co-operation with the World Heritage Centre and the Advisory Bodies;
4. Notes the submission of the risk preparedness plan, however expresses its concern that the developed plan does not include provisions to address threats and vulnerabilities derived from natural factors, and urges the State Party to develop this component and to submit three printed and electronic copies to the World Heritage Centre and the Advisory Bodies for review by 1 February 2012;
5. Requests the State Party to undertake the following activities as indicated by the 2008 World Heritage Centre / ICOMOS joint reactive monitoring mission:
  - a) Finalize the heritage inventory,
  - b) Finalize the delimitation of the property and the establishment of the buffer zone and submit the proposed new boundaries, including appropriate cartography and legal framework, for examination by the World Heritage Committee,
  - c) Conclude the declaration of the Chilina Valley and the associated terraces and archaeological sites as national cultural heritage to ensure their adequate protection,
  - d) Carry out an Environmental Impact Assessment for the Via Troncal Interconectora project as a whole, including the assessment and potential mitigation measures for the landscape areas of Lari Lari, Los Tucos, Cayma and Yanahuara, and submit the assessment for review by the World Heritage Centre and the Advisory Bodies prior to the approval and implementation of the project,
  - e) Submit the evaluation of the Architectural Regional Technical Committee on the new proposals for the Chilina Bridge, in conformity with Paragraph 172 of the Operational Guidelines, for consideration and review by the World Heritage Centre and the Advisory Bodies prior to the approval and construction of the project,
  - f) Finalize the approval process for the proposed “Regulatory Framework for Arequipa’s Historical Centre and Monuments Area”;
6. Also requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by 1 February 2012, an updated report on the state of conservation of the property and the implementation of the above, for examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 36th session in 2012.

**36 com - 2012**

**WHC-12/36.COM/19 Original: English / French**



**World Heritage**

**36 COM**

**WHC-12/36.COM/19**  
Original: English / French

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF  
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

**WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE**

**Thirty-sixth session**

**Saint Petersburg, Russian Federation  
24 June – 6 July 2012**

**DECISIONS ADOPTED  
BY THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
AT ITS 36TH SESSION  
(SAINT-PETERSBURG, 2012)**

## 104. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 144-145

### Decision: 36 COM 7B.104

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document WHC-12/36.COM/7B.Add,
2. Recalling Decision 35 COM 7B.132, adopted at its 35th session (UNESCO, 2011),
3. Takes note of the information provided by the State Party regarding work carried out at the property and expresses its concern that many of the required actions to ensure the holistic conservation and protection of the property have remained in planning stages since 2008;
4. Urges the State Party to implement the following activities:
  - a) Finalize the risk preparedness plan for the property and submit three printed and electronic copies to the World Heritage Centre and the Advisory Bodies for review by 1 February 2013,
  - b) Finalize the approval process for the delimitation of the property and its buffer zone, including the definition of adequate regulatory measures, such as Municipal Ordinances and zoning, to ensure its protection,
  - c) Finalize the process for updating the Master Plan for the property,
  - d) Submit the technical evaluation of the Chilina Bridge by the appropriate body at the Ministry of Culture;
5. Requests the State Party to officially submit to the World Heritage Centre by 1 February 2013, a request for the revised boundaries and proposed buffer zone of the property in accordance to Paragraphs 163-165 of the Operational Guidelines;
6. Reiterates its request to the State Party to conduct an Environmental Impact Assessment for the Via Troncal Interconectora project as a whole, including the assessment and potential mitigation measures for the landscape areas of Lari Lari, Los Tucos, Cayma and Yanahuara, and submit the assessment for review by the World Heritage Centre and the Advisory Bodies prior to the approval and implementation of the project;
7. Also requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by 1 February **2013**, an updated report on the state of conservation of the property and the implementation of the above, for examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 37th session in 2013.

# 37 COM - 2013

WHC-13/37.COM/20 Paris, 5 July 2013 Original: English / French



United Nations  
Educational, Scientific and  
Cultural Organization

Organisation  
des Nations Unies  
pour l'éducation,  
la science et la culture

**World Heritage**

**37 COM**

**WHC-13/37.COM/20**

Paris, 5 July 2013

Original: English / French

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF  
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

**World Heritage Committee**

**Thirty-seventh session  
Phnom Penh, Cambodia  
16 - 27 June 2013**

**DECISIONS ADOPTED  
BY THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
AT ITS 37<sup>TH</sup> SESSION (PHNOM PENH, 2013)**

**Decision: 37 COM 7B.101**

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document WHC-13/37.COM/7B,
2. Recalling Decision 36 COM 7B.104, adopted at its 36th session (Saint-Petersburg, 2012),
3. Takes note of the information provided by the State Party on the actions taken to implement the recommendations made by the World Heritage Committee and reiterates its concern that measures to ensure the conservation and protection of the property continue to be at the planning stages;
4. Reiterates its request to the State Party to finalize the following documents for submission to the World Heritage Centre by 30 November 2013, for evaluation:
  - a) Risk Preparedness plan for the property,
  - b) Delineation of the buffer zone and approval of adequate regulatory measures,
  - c) Master Plan for the property in three printed copies, in addition to an electronic, for review by the Advisory Bodies,
  - d) Proposal for a minor boundary modification, according to the procedure established by the Operational Guidelines;
5. Urges the State Party to finalize the management plan for the property as it has been requested by the World Heritage Committee since 2009 and submit three copies to the World Heritage Centre, for review by the Advisory Bodies by 1 February 2014;
6. Also reiterates its request to the State Party to undertake an Environmental Impact Assessment, including Heritage Impact Assessments for the Via Troncal Interconectora project as a whole, including the assessment of potential impacts on the landscape areas of Lari Lari, Los Tucos, Cayma and Yanahuara and the identification of mitigation measures, and submit the assessment study to the World Heritage Centre for review by the Advisory Bodies, prior to the approval and implementation of the project;
7. Requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by 1 February 2014, an updated report on the state of conservation of the property and the implementation of the above for examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 38th session in 2014.

# 38 COM - 2014

WHC-14/38.COM/16 Doha, 7 July 2014 Original: English / French



**World Heritage**

**38 COM**

**WHC-14/38.COM/16**

**Doha, 7 July 2014**

**Original: English / French**

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF  
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

**WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE**

**Thirty-eighth session**

**Doha, Qatar  
15 - 25 June 2014**

**DECISIONS ADOPTED  
BY THE WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE  
AT ITS 38<sup>TH</sup> SESSION (DOHA, 2014)**

## 46. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 98 - 99

### Decision: 38 COM 7B.46

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document WHC-14/38.COM/7B,
2. Recalling Decision 37 COM 7B.101, adopted at its 37th session (Phnom Penh, 2013),
3. Takes note of the significant progress made by the State Party in addressing the final delimitation of boundaries and buffer zone, and the completion of the Risk preparedness plan and the Master Plan of the Historic Centre of Arequipa;
4. Urges the State Party to complete the process of final approval and implementation of the new boundaries for the property as well as for its Master Plan and Risk preparedness plan;
5. Invites the State Party to submit, according to paragraphs 163-165 of the Operational Guidelines, the final proposal for the buffer zone as a minor boundary modification to enhance the protection of the visually sensitive areas around the property;
6. Notes with strong concern that work has started on the construction of the Chilina Bridge, one of the components of the project Via Troncal Interconectora, without the completion of a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) as requested by the World Heritage Committee at its last three sessions;
7. Reiterates its request to the State Party to undertake, an HIA encompassing all the components of the Via Troncal Interconectora project, including the assessment of potential impacts on the landscape areas of Lari Lari, Los Tucos, Cayma and Yanahuara and an action plan to mitigate the visual impacts and requests the State Party to complete and submit these studies to the World Heritage Centre for review by the Advisory Bodies, prior to any approval or implementation of the entire project;
8. Also requests the State Party to invite a joint World Heritage Centre/ICOMOS reactive monitoring mission to the property to consider the assessments of potential impacts of the Via Local Interconectora project, as well as the development of an action plan to mitigate negative impacts;
9. Further requests the State Party to complete the review of the Metropolitan Development Plan in order to rationalize inconsistencies with the Master Plan of the property and confirm whether or not this plan is to be regarded as the management plan for the property, requested by the World Heritage Committee to ensure the protection of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property;
10. Requests furthermore the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by 1 February 2015, a progress report and, by 1 December 2015, an updated report on the state of conservation of the property and the implementation of the above, both reports including a 1-page executive summary, for examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 40th session in 2016.



**World Heritage**

**40 COM**

**WHC/16/40.COM/19**

**Paris, 15 November 2016**

**Original: English**

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF  
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

**WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE**

**Fortieth session**

**Istanbul, Turkey  
10 - 17 July 2016**

**UNESCO Headquarters, Paris, France  
24-26 October 2016**

**Report of the Decisions adopted  
during the 40th session  
of the World Heritage Committee  
(Istanbul/UNESCO, 2016)**

The decisions adopted during the continuation of the 40th session of the World Heritage Committee at the UNESCO Headquarters from 24 to 26 October 2016 are followed by an asterisk (\*)

## 8. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 77 - 78

### Decision: 40 COM 7B.8

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document WHC/16/40.COM/7B,
2. Recalling Decision 38 COM 7B.46, adopted at its 38th session (Doha, 2014),
3. Takes note of the World Heritage Centre/ICOMOS Reactive Monitoring mission that took place in 2014 and requests the State Party to implement its recommendations;
4. Reiterates its recommendation to the State Party to submit, as a matter of urgency, according to Paragraphs 163-165 of the Operational Guidelines, the final proposal for the buffer zone as a minor boundary modification, to enhance the protection of the visually sensitive areas around the property;
5. Also takes note of the information provided on the Chilina Bridge and the Via Troncal Interconectora Project and notes with regret that these infrastructural works have been completed in spite of its recommendations over the past years not to initiate the works as long as a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) had not been completed, and also requests the State Party to complete it as a matter of urgency, and submit it for review by the World Heritage Centre and the Advisory Bodies;
6. Also notes that the HIA for the Chilina Bridge concludes that as a consequence of the construction of the bridge, the surrounding landscape areas are in an accelerated process of transformation;
7. Urges the State Party to develop a mitigation plan to control the undesirable urbanisation and constructions that are already taking place as a consequence of the works;
8. Welcomes the completion of the Risk Prevention Management Plan;
9. Reiterates its request to the State Party to complete the process of reviewing of the Metropolitan Development Plan in order to rationalize inconsistencies with the Master Plan of the property and to confirm whether or not this plan is to be regarded as the management plan for the property, requested by the World Heritage Committee to ensure the protection of the Outstanding Universal Value (OUV) of the property;
10. Invites the State Party to submit as soon as possible more detailed information on the proposal to construct a Monorail Transportation System in the city of Arequipa, for review by the World Heritage Centre and the Advisory Bodies, and also urges the State Party not to proceed with the further development of the project pending consultations, in accordance with Paragraph 172 of the Operational Guidelines;
11. Further requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by 1 December 2017, an updated report on the state of conservation of the property and the implementation of the above, for examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 42nd session in 2018.

# 42 COM - 2018

**WHC/18/42.COM/18 Manama, 4 July 2018 Original: English Decisions adopted during the 42nd sesión of the World Heritage Committee (Manama, 2018)**



**World Heritage**

**42 COM**

**WHC/18/42.COM/18  
Manama, 4 July 2018  
Original: English**

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF  
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

**WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE**

**Forty-second session  
Manama, Bahrain  
24 June – 4 July 2018**

**Decisions adopted  
during the 42nd session  
of the World Heritage Committee  
(Manama, 2018)**

## 41. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) – Page 114

### Decision: 42 COM 7B.41

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document WHC/18/42.COM/7B,

2. Recalling Decision **40 COM 7B.8**, adopted at its 40th session (Istanbul/UNESCO, 2016),

3. Welcomes the adoption of the Metropolitan Development Plan 2016-2025 and the definition of the monumental zone as an area that requires special regulation, and notes that a Master Plan 2017-2027 has been prepared for this area and the historical centre;

4. Urges the State Party to complete the revision and approval process for the Master Plan 2017-2027 and to inform the World Heritage Centre accordingly, and to proceed with the submission of the revision of the boundaries of the property and buffer zone as a Minor Boundary Modification, according to Paragraphs 163-164 of the *Operational Guidelines*;

5. Also notes that the Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) of the Via Troncal has been completed and that there will be a minimal impact on the property and a medium impact on the proposed buffer zone, and that there will be positive effects on the traffic flow through the historical centre;

6. Notwithstanding the above, strongly recommends to the State Party to carefully monitor the impact of the Via Troncal in other areas than the World Heritage property, particularly the potential urbanization along the Via Troncal that may affect cultural landscapes, historical villages and expressions of intangible heritage that contribute to the contextual setting of the property, and to implement the relevant recommendations of the 2014 Reactive Monitoring mission in order to manage and mitigate these processes;

7. Requests the State Party to review all recommendations of the 2014 Reactive Monitoring mission in a systematic manner and to report back on their implementation;

8. Also requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by **1 December 2019**, an updated report on the state of conservation of the property and the implementation of the above, for examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 44th session in 2020.

## 44 COM – 2020 / 2021

Whc/21/44.COM/18 Paris, 31 July 2021 Original: English / French Extended forty-fourth sesión Fuzhou (China) / Online meeting 16 – 31 July 2021 - Decisions adopted during the 44th sesión of the World Heritage Committee (Fuzhou, 2021)



**World Heritage**

**44 COM**

WHC/21/44.COM/18  
Paris, 31 July 2021  
Original: English / French

**UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC  
AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION**

**CONVENTION CONCERNING THE PROTECTION OF  
THE WORLD CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE**

**WORLD HERITAGE COMMITTEE**

**Extended forty-fourth session**

**Fuzhou (China) / Online meeting  
16 - 31 July 2021**

**Decisions adopted  
during the extended 44th session  
of the World Heritage Committee  
(Fuzhou (China) / Online meeting, 2021)**

## 69. Historic Centre of the City of Arequipa (Peru) (C 1016) - Page 160

### Decision: 44 COM 7B.69

The World Heritage Committee,

1. Having examined Document WHC/21/44.COM/7B,

2. Recalling Decision **42 COM 7B.41**, adopted at its 42nd session (Manama, 2018),

3. Commends the State Party for the progress made in the implementation of the recommendations of the 2014 joint World Heritage Centre/ICOMOS Reactive Monitoring mission, and urges the State Party to ensure their full implementation;

4. Welcomes the proposal of the 2019-2029 Master Plan of the Historic Centre of Arequipa and Buffer Zone by the Ministry of Culture and requests the State Party, through the Municipal Council of Arequipa, to finalize the approval process of the Master Plan as soon as possible, and also requests the State Party to inform the World Heritage Centre about the approval and the measures that will be taken to ensure the immediate implementation of the Master Plan and its management structure;

5. Also urges the State Party to proceed with the submission of a minor boundary modification in accordance with Paragraphs 163-164 of the *Operational Guidelines* to formalize the boundaries of the property and the buffer zone;

6. Notes with satisfaction that protective measures are being taken to protect the agricultural character of the Chilina River valley, and encourages the State Party to actively promote agricultural activities in the area;

7 Acknowledges the initiatives developed by the State Party, which aim to enhance the conservation and awareness raising activities at the Historic Centre among the local communities and also encourages it to continue these efforts;

8. Further requests the State Party to ensure the implementation of the mitigation measures related to the construction of the Via Troncal Interconectadora and the Chilina Bridge, including modifications of infrastructural works, as proposed in the 2017 Heritage Impact Assessment;

9. Requests furthermore the State Party to inform the World Heritage Centre on any progress in the design of the pedestrian circulation project at the Plaza Major and Salaverry-Malecon Viaduct;

10. Finally requests the State Party to submit to the World Heritage Centre, by **1 December 2022**, an updated report on the state of conservation of the property and the implementation of the above, for examination by the World Heritage Committee at its 46th session.